# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Yun-Long Fu,<sup>a</sup>\* Jia-Lin Ren,<sup>a</sup> Hai-Ping Qiao<sup>a</sup> and Seik Weng Ng<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen 041004, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.041 wR factor = 0.109 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# The 1:2/3 adduct of manganese(II) terephthalate with *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide

Manganese(II) terephthalate crystallizes from *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide under solvothermal conditions as a  ${}_{3}^{2}$ DMF adduct, [Mn<sub>3</sub>(C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NO)<sub>2</sub>]; the compound is formally bis(*N*,*N*-dimethylformamide)tri- $\mu_5$ , $\mu_5$ , $\mu_4$ -terephthalato-trimanganese, which adopts a polymeric three-dimensional network structure. One of the tetraanions lies on a general position and binds to five Mn atoms, with one carboxyl group functioning as a  $\mu_2$ -bridge and the other as a  $\mu_3$ -bridge. The other lies on an inversion center, the dianion functioning in the  $\mu_4$ -bridging mode. One Mn atom lies on a general position and is surrounded by six O atoms belonging to tetraanions. The other lies on a twofold axis and is also surrounded by six O atoms, one of which belongs to the DMF molecule.

#### Comment

This study continues the investigation on products of solvothermal reactions of metal salts with terephthalic acid in N,Ndimethylformamide solvent medium; the reaction with cobalt(II) nitrate gave the 1:1 adduct,  $[Co(C_8H_4O_4) (C_3H_7NO)]_n$  (Fu et al., 2004). The reaction with manganous gave  $[Mn(C_8H_4O_4)(C_3H_7NO)_{0.67}]_n$ , chloride but the compound is better regarded as bis(N,N-dimethylformamide)triterephthalatotrimanganese, which adopts a polymeric three-dimensional network structure (Fig. 1). One of the tetraanions lies on a general position and binds to five Mn atoms. One of its carboxyl groups binds to two Mn atoms; the other carboxy group chelates to an Mn atom, but both its O atoms also interact with two more so that this tetraanion is a  $\mu_5$ -bridging terephthalate unit. The other tetraanion, which lies on an inversion center, functions in the  $\mu_4$ -bridging mode.



A small number of adducts of manganese terephthalate have been characterized; these include the methylpyrazole (Hong & Do, 1997), 4,4'-bipyridine (Wang *et al.*, 2003), 1,10phenanthroline (Cano *et al.*, 1994, 1997) and tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (Yang *et al.*, 2003) adducts, as well as two that

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 13 September 2004 Accepted 20 September 2004 Online 30 September 2004 are complexes of radical ligands coordinated through their N atoms (Li *et al.*, 2002, 2003).

### Experimental

Manganese dichloride (0.198 g, 1.0 mmol), terephthalic acid (0.166, 1.0 mmol), *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (7 ml) and 1,4-dioxane (4 ml) were sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel bomb, which was heated at 403 K for 6 d. Colorless crystals were obtained when the bomb was cooled slowly to room temperature. CH&N analysis. Found: C 45.10 H 2.44, N 3.48%; calc. for  $C_{30}H_{26}N2_2O_{14}Mn_2$ : C 45.19, H 2.53, N3.51%.

 $D_x = 1.639 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 4576

reflections  $\theta = 2.1-27.0^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 1.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 295 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int}=0.035$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max}=27.5^\circ$ 

 $h = -32 \rightarrow 31$ 

 $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$  $l = -22 \rightarrow 23$ 

Prism, colorless

0.16  $\times$  0.14  $\times$  0.14 mm

3722 independent reflections

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0614P)^2]$ 

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

+ 3.4074P]

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.50 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.58 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

3116 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} Mn_{3}(C_{8}H_{4}O_{4})_{3}(C_{3}H_{7}NO)_{2} \end{bmatrix}$   $M_{r} = 803.35$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 25.022 (1) Å b = 9.5340 (5) Å c = 17.923 (1) Å  $\beta = 130.417$  (1)° V = 3255.2 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX areadetector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2002)  $T_{\min} = 0.748, T_{\max} = 0.848$ 17473 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$   $wR(F^2) = 0.109$  S = 1.033722 reflections 238 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

Tab	e	1			
-----	---	---	--	--	--

Selected geomet	ric parameters	(A, °	).
-----------------	----------------	-------	----

Mn1-O1	2.128 (2)	Mn2-O6	2.166 (2)
Mn1-O3 <sup>i</sup>	2.291 (2)	Mn2-O7	2.127 (3)
Mn1-O5	2.159 (2)	Mn2-O4 <sup>i</sup>	2.289 (2)
Mn2-O2	2.107(2)	Mn2–O4 <sup>ii</sup>	2.175 (2)
Mn2-O3 <sup>i</sup>	2.394 (2)		
O1-Mn1-O1 <sup>iii</sup>	95.2 (1)	O2-Mn2-O3 <sup>i</sup>	84.7 (1)
$O1-Mn1-O3^{i}$	96.4 (1)	O2-Mn2-O4 <sup>i</sup>	89.8 (1)
O1-Mn1-O3 <sup>iv</sup>	91.4 (1)	O2-Mn2-O4 <sup>ii</sup>	93.1 (1)
O1-Mn1-O5	88.6 (1)	O2-Mn2-O6	89.5 (1)
O1-Mn1-O5 <sup>iii</sup>	173.2 (1)	O2-Mn2-O7	172.5 (1)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> -Mn1-O3 <sup>i</sup>	91.4 (1)	O3 <sup>i</sup> -Mn2-O4 <sup>i</sup>	55.4 (1)
$O1^{iii}$ -Mn1-O3 <sup>iv</sup>	96.4 (1)	O3 <sup>i</sup> -Mn2-O4 <sup>ii</sup>	133.5 (1)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> -Mn1-O5	173.2 (1)	O3 <sup>i</sup> -Mn2-O6	126.0 (1)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> -Mn1-O5 <sup>iii</sup>	88.6 (1)	O3 <sup>i</sup> -Mn2-O7	89.1 (1)
$O3^i - Mn1 - O3^{iv}$	168.5 (1)	O4 <sup>i</sup> -Mn2-O4 <sup>ii</sup>	78.1 (1)
O3 <sup>i</sup> -Mn1-O5	82.5 (1)	O4 <sup>i</sup> -Mn2-O6	178.4 (1)
O3 <sup>i</sup> -Mn1-O5 <sup>iii</sup>	89.2 (1)	O4 <sup>i</sup> -Mn2-O7	90.1 (1)
$O3^{iv}-Mn1-O5$	89.2 (1)	O4 <sup>ii</sup> -Mn2-O6	100.5 (1)
O3 <sup>iv</sup> -Mn1-O5 <sup>iii</sup>	82.5 (1)	O4 <sup>ii</sup> -Mn2-O7	94.3 (1)
O5-Mn1-O5 <sup>iii</sup>	88.3 (1)	O6-Mn2-O7	90.8 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$ ; (ii)  $\frac{3}{2}-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-z$ ; (iii)  $1-x, y, \frac{3}{2}-z$ ; (iv) 1-x, 2-y, 1-z.



#### Figure 1

*ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) plot of a portion of polymeric structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii. [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, y,  $\frac{3}{2} - z$ ; (ii) x, 2 - y,  $\frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (iii) 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z; (iv)  $\frac{3}{2} - x$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{3}{2} - 1z$ .]

The DMF molecule is disordered over two positions, with the two components (unprimed and primed atom numbers) sharing a common O atom; the disorder is such that the two components are approximately related by a rotation along the Mn2-O7 vector. The two carbonyl distances were restrained to be within  $\pm 0.01$  Å of each other. The six N-C distances were restrained to be similar. The displacement parameters of the primed atoms were made to equal those of the unprimed atoms. Additionally, the vibration of these atoms were restrained to approximately isotropic displacements, and each component was restrained to be approximately planar. As the disorder refined to almost 50:50, the occupancy of each component was fixed as exactly 0.5. H atoms were placed at calculated positions  $(C-H = 0.93 \text{ Å for the } sp^2$ -hybridized parent C atoms and 0.96 Å for the methyl C atoms) and were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$  for the aromatic H atoms and  $1.5U_{eq}$  for the methyl H atoms. The two methyl groups were rotated so as to fit the electron density.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

We thank the Natural Scientific Foundation Committee of Shanxi Province (No. 20041031) and the University of Malaya for generously supporting this study.

#### References

Bruker (2002). SADABS, SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Cano, J., De Munno, G., Sanz, J. S., Ruiz, R., Faus, J., Lloret, F., Julve, M. & Caneschi, A. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1915–1923.

Cano, J., De Munno, G., Sanz, J., Ruiz, R., Lloret, F., Faus, J. & Julve, M. (1994). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3465–3471.

Fu, Y.-L., Ren, J.-L. & Ng, S. W. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m1507-m1509.

- Hong, C. & Do, Y. (1997). Inorg. Chem. 36, 5684-5685.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Li, L.-C., Liao, D.-Z., Jiang, Z.-H. & Yan, S.-P. (2002). Inorg. Chem. 41, 421–424.
- Li, L.-C., Liu, S.-Y., Liao, D.-Z., Jiang, Z.-H., Yan, S.-P. & Turner, S. S. (2003). J. Coord. Chem. 56, 383–388.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wang, R.-H., Chen, L.-H., Hong, M.-C., Luo, J.-H., Cao, R. & Weng, J.-B. (2003). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 22, 50–54.
- Yang, L., Bian, F., Yan, S.-P., Liao, D.-Z., Cheng, P. & Jiang, Z.-H. (2003). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 6, 1188–1191.